arXiv:1702.02424v1 [quant-ph] 8 Feb 2017

Large-Alphabet Encoding Schemes for Floodlight Quantum Key Distribution

Quntao Zhuang[1,2] **Zheshen Zhang**[1] **Jeffrey H. Shapiro**[1]

[1]*Research Laboratory of Electronics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA*
[2]*Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA*
email: quntao@mit.edu

Abstract: Floodlight quantum key distribution (FL-QKD) uses binary phase-shift keying (BPSK) of multiple optical modes to achieve Gbps secret-key rates (SKRs) at metropolitan-area distances. We show that FL-QKD's SKR can be doubled by using 32-ary PSK.

Quantum key distribution [1] (QKD) allows remote parties (Alice and Bob) to create a shared random bit string with unconditional security. Later, they can employ their shared string for one-time-pad (OTP) encryption of messages they wish to keep entirely private from any eavesdropper (Eve). Unfortunately, current QKD systems' secret-key rates (SKRs) fall far short of what is needed to make high-speed (Gbps) transmission with OTP encryption ready for widespread deployment. Floodlight QKD (FL-QKD) [2, 3] is a new protocol that uses binary phase-shift keying (BPSK) of multiple optical modes and homodyne detection to achieve security against the optimum frequency-domain collective attack. It is predicted to permit Gbps SKRs at metropolitan-area distances in a single-wavelength implementation without the need to develop any new technology. In this paper we extend FL-QKD's security analysis to K-ary phase-shift keying (KPSK), and show that the increased alphabet size affords SKR increases by up to a factor of two. Thus, over a 50-km-long fiber, going from BPSK to 32-ary PSK increases FL-QKD's SKR from 2.0 Gbps to 4.5 Gbps.

In KPSK FL-QKD (schematic shown in Fig. 1), Alice splits the W-Hz-bandwidth, flat-top spectrum, high-brightness output from an amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) source into a low-brightness signal and a high-brightness reference. To enable channel monitoring, Alice combines her low-brightness ASE in an $n \gg 1$ ASE-to-SPDC-ratio with the signal output from a spontaneous parametric downconverter (SPDC) of the same W-Hz-bandwidth flat-top spectrum. Alice uses a single-photon detector to monitor her SPDC's idler and another single-photon detector to monitor a $\kappa_A \ll 1$ fraction of her combined ASE-SPDC light, while sending the remainder of that light—whose brightness is $N_S \ll 1$ photons/mode—to Bob. Alice retains her bright reference beam in an optical-fiber delay line—using amplifiers as needed—for use as her dual-homodyne receiver's $N_{\rm LO} \gg 1$ photons/mode brightness local oscillator (LO).



Fig. 1: Quantum channel setup for FL-QKD. ASE: amplified spontaneous emission source. SPDC: spontaneous parametric downconverter. LO: local oscillator.

In the absence of Eve, the fiber link from Alice to Bob is a pure-loss channel with transmissivity $\kappa_S \ll 1$. Eve's presence, however, allows her control that channel, hence Alice and Bob must perform channel monitoring to bound Eve's information gain. So, prior to his KPSK encoding operation, Bob routes a small fraction $\kappa_B \ll 1$ of the light he receives to a single-photon detector. The outputs from Alice and Bob's single-photon detectors enable them to determine the single rates S_I for Alice's idler and S_A (S_B) for Alice's (Bob's) tap, as well as C_{IA} (C_{IB}) and $\widetilde{C}_{IA}$ $\left(\widetilde{C}_{IB}\right)$, the time-aligned and time-shifted coincidence rates between Alice's idler and Alice's (Bob's) tap. They use their measurements to: (1) verify that Bob receives the photon flux he would get were Eve absent; and (2) determine Eve's intrusion parameter $f_E = 1 - [(C_{IB} - \widetilde{C}_{IB})/S_B]/[(C_{IA} - \widetilde{C}_{IA})/S_A]$, which quantifies the integrity of the Alice-to-Bob channel and allows them to place an upper bound, $\chi_{EB}^{\rm UB}$, on Eve's Holevo-information rate for her optimized frequency-domain collective attack, which she can realize in the form of an SPDC light-injection attack [2].

Bob's KPSK modulation works as follows. In each T-s-duration symbol interval (symbol rate $R = 1/T$), Bob applies a $2\pi k/K$ phase shift to the light remaining after his monitor tap, where k is equally likely to be any integer between 0 and $K-1$ and the k values for different symbol intervals are statistically independent. He then amplifies his modulated light with a gain $G_B \gg 1$ amplifier whose output ASE has brightness $N_B = G_B - 1$, and sends the amplified and modulated light back to Alice through what, in Eve's absence, is a κ_S-transmissivity fiber. The amplifier's gain will overcome the return-path loss insofar as Alice is concerned, while its output ASE will mask Bob's modulation from Eve. To decode Bob's symbols, Alice uses dual-homodyne reception, i.e., she 50–50 beam splits both the light returned from Bob and her LO, and then makes homodyne measurements of the I (0 phase shift) and Q ($\pi/2$ phase shift) in-phase and quadrature components of the returned light as in classical KPSK fiber-optic communication.



Fig. 2: (a) 8-ary PSK example. The gray-shaded ellipses enclose one-standard-deviation regions for Alice's receiver about the $I+iQ$ values of Bob's symbols, and the red lines mark the boundaries of her minimum error-probability decision regions. (b) SKR $\Delta I_{AB}^{\mathrm{LB}}$ vs. one-way path length. At 50 km , $\Delta I_{AB}^{\mathrm{LB}} \approx 2.0$ and 4.5 Gbps for $K = 2$ and 32.

When $M = TW$, the number of optical modes per symbol, is high ($M \gg 1$), the joint statistics of I and Q conditioned on knowledge of k can be well approximated by a Gaussian distribution whose symmetric behavior for $0 \le k \le K-1$ is shown, schematically, in Fig. 2(a). This symmetry, plus all k values being equally likely, makes Alice's minimum error-probability decision rule choosing her decoded symbol to be the one whose signal location in the I-Q plane is closest to her measured (I, Q) value. See Fig. 2(a) for the resulting decision regions.

Once Alice has decoded Bob's string of transmitted symbols the two of them use a tamper-proof classical channel (not shown in Fig. 1) to perform reconciliation (error correction) and privacy amplification. During reconciliation, Alice and Bob obtain values for the conditional probabilities $\Pr(\tilde{k} \mid k)$, i.e., the probabilities that Alice decoded $\tilde{k}$ given Bob sent k, from which they calculate their Shannon-information rate I_{AB} via $I_{AB} = R\{\sum_{k=0}^{K-1}\sum_{\tilde{k}=0}^{K-1}[\Pr(\tilde{k} \mid k)/K]\log_2[K\Pr(\tilde{k} \mid k)/\sum_{k'=0}^{K-1}\Pr(\tilde{k} \mid k')]\}$. Then, using their upper bound on Eve's Holevo-information rate, they know that their achievable SKR is bounded from below by $\Delta I_{AB}^{\mathrm{LB}} = \beta I_{AB} - \chi_{EB}^{\mathrm{UB}}$, where β is the efficiency of their reconciliation algorithm, and, because of FL-QKD's extraordinarily high SKR, finite-key effects have been neglected.

To explore the SKR behavior of KPSK FL-QKD we performed numerical maximization of $\Delta I_{AB}^{\mathrm{LB}}$ over Alice's source brightness, N_S, for one-way path lengths up to 150 km using parameter values similar to those employed in Ref. [2]: $W = 2$ THz source bandwidth; $n = 99$ ASE-to-SPDC ratio; $\kappa_A = \kappa_B = 0.01$ monitor taps; 0.2 dB/km fiber loss; $R =$ 10 Gbaud symbol rate; $G_B = 10^6$ amplifier gain; $N_{\mathrm{LO}} = 10^4$ LO brightness; 0.9 homodyne-detection efficiency; and $\beta = 0.94$ reconciliation efficiency. The maximum SKRs we obtained for $K = 2$, 4, 8, and 32 are shown in Fig. 2(b). We see that going from BPSK to 32-ary PSK approximately doubles the achievable SKR over all the distances shown, with BPSK providing 2.0 Gbps SKR and 32-ary PSK giving 4.5 Gbps SKR at 50 km.

It is interesting to note how FL-QKD's KPSK performance differs from that seen in fiber-optic communication using high-order signal constellations and coherent detection [4]. In fiber-optic communication, high-order signal constellations can enormously improve spectral efficiency (bits/sec-Hz = bits/mode), and such systems are now moving beyond KPSK to quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM). Our work shows that FL-QKD benefits from the increased spectral efficiency of KPSK, but we have found that there is no value to conventional (square-lattice) QAM, because that format's amplitude modulation gives away too much information to Eve.

References

1. C. H. Bennett and G. Brassard, Theoretical Computer Science **560,** 7–11 (2014).
2. Q. Zhuang, Z. Zhang, J. Dove, F. N. C. Wong and J. H. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. A **94,** 012322 (2016).
3. Z. Zhang, Q. Zhuang, F. N. C. Wong and J. H. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. A **95,** 012332 (2017).
4. G. Li, Adv. Opt. Photon. **1,** 279–307 (2009).